of head there is a violet blotch. From between the middle of the eyes in the anterior half is an unpigmented spot which later becomes conspicuous after preservation. Dorsal surface of snout dusky. Maxilla, cheeks and ventral side of head silvery. Fins hyaline.

## Discussion

The present specimens conform to the description given by Smith (1965) for Iso natalensis in all respects except for minor differences in the dorsal fin formula and the number of lateral series of scales. Smith (op. cit.) gave the number of first dorsal spines as IV-VI. In the present specimens the number ranges from III-VI. The lateral series of scales are found to be less in number (42-52) as against 60 given by Smith. The presence of a smaller number of scales may be correlated to the smaller size of the specimens as observed by Smith.

Iso flosindicus Herre, 1944 has been recorded earlier from Visakhapatnam. But the present specimens differ from the above species in the number of dorsal spines, gill rakers, anal fin rays and lateral series of scales (Table 1).

This fish is being recorded for the first time from India from a rock-pool at Visakhapatnam on the east coast. The authentic record so far is only from South Africa (Smith 1961). According to Smith (1961) related species recorded from Japan and Australia held to be distinct may all eventually be found to be the same (species) (p. 324). As Herre (1944) erected the species Isoflosindicus on the basis of a single specimen, which was much spoiled, its validity is doubtful. However, the type should be compared before the name is synonymised.
N.A.V. PRASAD REDDY

April 19, 1989
C. UMA DEVI
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# 42. KEY TO GENERA AND RECORDS OF SOME SPECIES OF COCCINAE (HOMOPTERA : COCCIDAE) FROM INDIA 

## Subfamily Coccinae Falien

Recently, Varshney (1985) listed 25 genera of Coccidae from India under 3 subfamilies: Filippiinae, Coccinae and Ceroplastinae. In the present study only 2 subfamilies are recognized except Filippiinae, the genera of which are considered here in Coccinac. The Indian genera and species of Ceroplastinae are included by Avasthi \& Shafee (1986). Therefore only the subfamily Coccinae is treated here which is represented by 23 genera from India. So far, no consolidated key for the identification of Indian genera is available. The main object of this paper is to present a key to the Indian genera of Coccinae.

Observations if any, and records of 6 species of Coccinae are also given. The genus Chloropulyinaria Borchsenius is not considered here as distinct from Pulvinaria Targ.-Tozzt. as we did not find any remarkable difference between these two genera. The material studied by us are deposited in the Zoological Museum, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.

KEY TO INDIAN GENERA OF COCCINAE, BASED ON ADULT FEMALES

1. Marginal setae variously shaped, but never broadly expanded and flattened 2

- Marginal setae broadly expanded and flattened

Paralecanium Cockerell
2. Cribiform plates or rounded chitinous areas present on dorsum
.3

- Cribiform plates absent on dorsum ..................... 5

3. Legs and antennae absent or much reduced; with more than 4 cribiform plates of variable sizes
.4

- Legs and antennae well developed; with only 4 quite large cribiform plates, all of about the same size. $\qquad$ Hemilecanium Newstead.

4. Legs and antennae rudimentary; derm around anal plates unsclerotized....Cribrolecanium Green

## - Legs and antennae absent; derm around anal plates

 strongly sclerotized. $\qquad$ Akermes Cockerell5. 8-shaped pores absent on dorsum, if present sparse in distribution ..... 6

- Large 8-shaped pores present along the mid-dorsal line and around body margin
.Cardiococcus Cockerell

6. Legs and antennae well developed; multilocular pores mainly confined to abdominal venter; spiracles not surrounded by sclerotic plate. .....  7

- Legs and antennae much reduced or rudimentary;numerous multilocular pores present along thesides of body;spiracles surrounded by a sclerotic plateLecanopsis Targioni-Tozzetti

7. Longitudinal series of conical spines absent on dorsum .....  8

- 2 longitudinal series of short, thick conical spines extend from rostrum to abdomen on dorsum
Metaceronema Takahashi.

8. Ventral tubular ducts numerous .....  .9

- Ventral tubular ducts absent or very few in number21

9. Stigmatic spines if present 1-6 only ..... 10

- Stigmatic spines numerous, stout, bluntly rounded,in the usual 4 groups, each accompanied bysclerotic plate
$\qquad$.Ceronema Maskell.

10. Stigmatic spines more or less resemble with marginal spines or somewhat represented by 1-2 slightly longer setae. ..... 11

- Stigmatic spines 3, rarely 5-6, much distinct from marginal setae ..... 15

11. Tubular ducts either absent on dorsum or very infrequent, if numerous, sparsely distributed ..... 12

- Tubular ducts very frequent on dorsum, arrangedin mid-longitudinal and marginal bandDicyphococcus. Borchsenius

12. Marginal setae conical or slendrical ..... 13

- Marginal setae spear-head shaped.Ceroplastodes Cockerell

13. Submarginal band, of tubular ducts absent on venter ..... 14

- Submarginal band of tubular ducts present onventer. .................................Eulecanium Cockerell

14. Distinct mid-dorsal line of pores present; multilocular pores confined to anal region and on the abdomen; glossy test is divided longitudinally into two halves.

Inglisia Maskell.
15. Mid-dorsal line of pores absent; multilocular pores present throughout the median region of thorax and abdomen; glossy test notdivided longitudinally into two halves. $\qquad$ Ctenochiton Maskell
15. Anal cleft normal not extending to centre of body; anal plates variable .16

- Anal cleft long extending to centre of body; anal plates elongate, about 4 times longer than wide .Protopulvinaria Cockerell

16. Submarginal band of tubular ducts present on venter17

- Submarginal band of tubular ducts absent on venter .19

17. Multilocular pores confined only to the abdominal venter; median stigmatic spine much longer than laterals. .18

- Multilocular pores present ventrally on abdomen and also present in groups behind base of each coxae .Parthenolecanium Sulc.

18. Dorsal setae cylindrical or slightly swollen apically; anal plates without large discal seta; dorsum with cellular pattern composed of distinct polygonal areas. Parasaissetia Takahashi

- Dorsal setae setose or spinose; anal plates with a large discal seta; dorsum with cellular pattern composed of much less distinct circular or oval areas. . Saissetia Deplanche

19. Marginal setae of variable shapes, simple, bifid, fimbriate or clubbed apically; stigmatic clefts usually with 3 spines, anal plates with thin apical setae 20

- Marginal setae thick, stout, more or less cylindrical, mostly with bidentate apices; stigmatic clefts each with 4-5 spines of variable lengths; anal plates with a thick apical setae...Megapulvinaria Young.

20. Ovisac is not strongly convex (Borchsenius, 1957)

Eupulvinaria Borchsenius

- Ovisac strongly convex (Borchsenius, 1957) $\qquad$ Pulvinaria Targioni-Tozzetti

21. Stigmatic spines 3 , median longer than laterals, located in the centre of the clefts; paraopercular pores if present few, never extend upto head..... 22

- Stigmatic spines 2 of equal sizes on either end of the sclerotized band; paraopercular pores numerous, arranged in a band along the median line of the body and extending as far as the head .Marsipococcus Cockerell \& Bueker

22. Dorsum with large tessellation
.......................................Eucalymnatus Cockerell

- Dorsum without tessellation.......Coccus Linnaeus


## Ceroplastodes cajani (Maskell)

Material examined: 16 females, INDIA: Uttar Pradesh, Aligarh, on Ficus infectoria 10. viii. 1980 (R.K.Avasthi).

This species is more common on Ficus plants at Aligarh. It is easily recognized in the field by the presence of glossy covering whereas the mounted specimens by the presence of single large spine on dorsum the region of stimatic clefts and two rows of small spear-head shaped marginal spines.

## Megapulvinaria maxima (Green)

Material examined: 2 females IndiA: Andhra Pradesh, Nellore, Bucchireddypalam, on weed plant, 27. i. 1978; 1 female, Tamil Nadu, Coimbatore, on Flacourtia indica, 27. iii 1979 (R.K. Avasthi).

This species is considered as a serious pest of Neem trees in India (Ayyar 1930). Mounted specimens are easily identified by the stout, truncated marginal spines with bidentate apices.

## Parasaissetia nigra (Niemer)

Material examined: 8 females IndiA: Tamil Nadu, Coimbatore, on Hibiscusrosa-sinensis L., and Abutilon indicum, 27. iii. 1979 (R.K.Avasthi).

Mounted specimens of this species are easily identified by the presence of large pale polygonal areas and thick, cylindrical setae with slightly swollen apices on dorsum.

## Saissetia coffeae (Walker)

Material examined: 3 females, India: Andhra Pradesh, Guntur, on Cajanus cajan, 5. i 1967; 5 females, Tamil Nadu, Tirunlveli, on Psidium guava L., 5. iii. 1967 (S.A. Shafee). 2 females, Coimbatore, Mettupalaiyam, on weed plant, 27. iii 1979 (R.K. Avasthi).

## Saissetía oleae (Olivier)

Material examined: 4 females, INDIA: Andhra Pradesh, Guntur, Ponnur, on Cajanus cajan, 3. iv. 1979 (R.K. Avasthi).

De Lotto (1971) discussed the authorship of this species and credited it to Olivier instead of Bernard.

## Saissetia privigna De Lotto

Material examined: 5 females, IndiA: Andhra Pradesh, Guntur, on Abelmoschus esculentus 14, iv. 1979 (R.K. Avasthi).

This species is closer to $S$. oleae, but distinctly differs in having numerous long marginal setae and in the shape of tubular ducts which have inner ductule much narrower than outer.
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